

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| 09/997,505 | 11/20/2001 | Kenzo Takahashi | 6744-01 4163 | | | |
| 7: | 590 05/12/2004 | | EXAM | XAMINER | | |
| McCormick, Paulding & Huber | | | SORKIN, DAVID L | | | |
| City Place II 185 Asylum Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | - | |
| Hartford, CT | | | 1723 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/997,505 | TAKAHASHI ET | ΓΔΙ | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | 7(2. | | | |
| | David L. Sorkin | 1723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | , | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>05 November 2003</u> . (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on | | | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | | ` ′ | • | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | mendment which place or (3) a timely filed t | aces the Request for | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | | | |
|), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | · | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| . Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | king court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| | | Sail Loh | | | | |
| | | Sand Losh | | | | |
| | | David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit: 1723 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 C | | promptly filed to | | | |
| minimize any nagative effects on natent term | | | | | | |